



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,630	11/12/2003	Qiang Wu	010116C1	2190
23696	7590	02/04/2010	EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			WONG, BLANCHE	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2476		
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		02/04/2010		ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/712,630	Applicant(s) WU ET AL.
	Examiner BLANCHE WONG	Art Unit 2476

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2009.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

- With regard to claim 5, lines 8-9, "a delta credit counter for each of said plurality of signals from each of said plurality of active sectors" is replaced with "a delta credit counter for each of said plurality of signal levels received from said plurality of active sectors".
- With regard to claim 5, lines 10-11, "... for identifying said best serving sector from said delta credit counter" is replaced with "... for identifying said best serving sector from said plurality of delta credit counters".

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quay/e*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. **Claims 1-20** are allowed.
3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With regard to claim 1, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious an access terminal comprising: “a signal level estimator to deduct an offset value from a fixed rate signal level of the current serving sector and a fixed rate signal level of each of a plurality of active sectors in an active set to generate *an adjusted fixed rate signal level for each of the current serving sector and sectors in the active set*; a comparator, to determine differences between a plurality of signal levels received from each of the plurality of active sectors and at least the adjusted fixed rate signal level of the current serving sector; an accumulator for accumulating total credits ... based on the comparison; and a new sector identification module to receive the accumulated total credit and to select the best serving sector ... based on the total credits” (with emphasis).

With regard to claim 5, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious an apparatus for selecting a best serving sector comprising: “a comparator comparing each of a plurality of signal levels received from a plurality of active sectors with a signal level of a current serving sector to produce a difference;

an accumulator ... for generating a delta credit for each of said plurality of signal levels received from said plurality of active sectors based on said difference and for incrementing a plurality of delta credit counters ... for each of said plurality of signal levels received from said plurality of active sectors; and

a best sector identifier ... for identifying said best serving sector from said plurality of delta credit counters.”

With regard to claim 9, the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious DRC lock bits. DRC lock bits is proprietary to Qualcomm.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BLANCHE WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Blanche Wong/
Examiner, Art Unit 2476
January 31, 2010
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2476